## THE BLACK SASH - CAPE WESTIERN REGION.

The undermentioned letter has been sent to the Chairman of the South African Society of Journalists.

Not only, however, has the Press chastised the Black Sash but we have had two resignations from membera who are journalists and who took the strongest exception to the banning of the SABC for the same reason as the 'Cape Times'.

The black Sash would find itself in a very false situation if, being itself considered to have interfered with the freedom of the Press, it should wish to protest against the legislation which may be introduced into Parliament to curtail press freedom.

In view of this, Cape Western Region thought other Regions might wish to consult with journalists in their regions as to the full implications of the banning of SABC representatives from Conference.

To: The Chairman: Cape Town Branch, The Society of Journalists, $21 / 11 / 61$. c/O The Cape Times, Burg Street, CAFg TOWN.

Dear Mr. Owen-Williams,
At our recent National Conference in Fast London, we took a resolution to exclude the SABC reporter from our Conference. The resolution read as follows:-
that a similar procedure be adopted at this Conference as Radio South Africa adopts in giving the UN reports and that the Chairman of this Conference be appointed our news representative.

By adopting this ironical parallel, we hoped to register our disapproval of the fact that we were being deprived of the independent broadcasting services which we consider we ars antitled to expect from Radio South Africa.

It was naturally, thereforo, with considerable concern that we learned
\# from the 'Cape Times' leading article, a copy of which is attached, that our action could be interpreted as an endeavour to interfere with the freedom of the Press.

In order to clarify our position, we issued a statement, a copy of which I is attached, which the Cape Times were kind enough to publish, but we understand from a senior journalist that he considers our argument spurious.

As a group of people who wish to do all in their power to uphold press freedom, we are naturally most anxious to do nothing ourselves which will enfringe press freedom. Wo are writing, therefore, to your organisation in the hope that you will be so kind as to discuss this problem and to advise us of your views.

$$
\text { (Signed) } \frac{\text { M.C. PRTHPRSENN. }}{\text { VICE-CHAIR. }}
$$

We trust the report that the Black Sash had banned Radio South Africa reporters from its meeting reflected only a state of temporary annoyance among this group of women who have fought so many rounds for liberty in South Africa. If, however, banning the reporters of the radio services, or any reporter for that matter, is being adopted as a policy, then we hope the Black Sash reverses that policy immediately. No matter what Radio South Africa may have cone to outrage the ideals of freedom of information in the Republic, that cannot constitute any kind of reason for breaching Press Freedom yet again. We beliove that the Black Sash is fighting to preserve the freedom of the Pross just as much as any other democratic organisation in the country is, and woul $\hat{d}$ not vant to docroase it even to demonstrate its indignation. That kind of banninc and barring can safely be left to the Nationalists.

CAPS PIMIS - IVoverbo: 24 hh, 1952 .
A spokeman of the Capo Western Region of the Black Sash yesterday explained why tho Sash hol coclded to ben the SABC representative from its Conference.

The stabement resi: We regret that the barring of the SABC representative from our coniforonce shovid have been interpreted as an interference with the freedom of the Press. The Black Sash docs not consider that the SABC is in the same category as tho Fress. The SABC is a service to which all listeners, whatever their politicel sympethies, contribute financially.

In the opinion of the Black Sash, therefore, the SABC should not be used to roprosent only the Coverment point of view.

CORPROTIONS ALLOWBD. When newsy pers print inaccurate reports, or misrepresent a case, tho public is given the opportunity to correct the position in the same newopeper. Tho $\$ A B 0$, as far as wo can sso, coes not allow talks which correct misreprosentaitions o: Cumaging cnissions.

The facts of our- case are theso: that as the SABC had decided recently to stop uaing ropor:s Iron tho United Nations available through independont ordinary news chennols, and had instead decided to use only reports omanating from Mr . Juic Iovw, we drow an tronical parallel by adopting the following resolution:
that a cimilar procedure be adopted at this conference as Radio South Africa adopts in giving the un reports, and that the chaimin of this conference be appointed our nczs rcpacoentこちive.

OUR CEANCS. We regard the request of the SABC to attend our 6th National Conforence as an opportunity to show our disappsoval of being deprived of the independont broadcasting sezvices which we consider we are entitled to expect from Radio Scuth Africa. We wish to make it clear that representatives from the local Nationalist and Czposition newspapers attended the opening of the conference at cur invitation and that no attenot was made to question or to influence their roporis. Any other newspaper reporter attending would have been similariy welcomed.

The Black Sash, far from banning representatives of groups who are diamctrically opposod to it, have gone to great pains over the jears to persuade tham not only to attend our conferences, but also to participate in our Brains True s and Press parties and to report on our activities.

I would like to say how entirely I agree with Black Sash condemnation of the present biassed SABC programmes. From being a National corporation it has become the equivalent of a Nationalist newspaper or magazine, with the difference that listners who do not share its views are, since it has a a monopoly of fees, being forced to finance it. I fully respect the feelings of members who are giving up their licences, especially when their sets get only local reception. Having bought my own during the last Fimergency, especially to hear overseas news services when the censorship was in force, I ittend keeping it for the same purpose.

It is this question of censorship which brings me, however, to the Black Sash's decision to ban the SABC from its meetings; those, I mean, to which Press representatives are admitted. This is, undoubtedly, an act of indirect censorship, the only, one within our power; and its moral basis seems to be that one is entitled to censor anyone whom one sincerely believes to be wrong. This is precisely the standpoint of those Nationalists who want to censor the Inglish Press; many quite genuinely belleve it is an evil influence and it will be almost impossible to fustify the Black Sash action without in some part justifying them too. This seems to me, in a choice of evils, very much the greater.

As a member of the P.T.N. Club Committee, I was associated with one of the two motions opposing the bill to censor publications. Though our efforts were successful for the time, it is always likely that a new bill will be put forward; if so, I should like to be free of any commitments which might compromise me in opposing it again. It is for this reason, not through any loss of respect for the work and ideals of the Black Sash, that regretfully I must tender my resignation.
(Sgd.) MARY GHALTAANS.

The other letter of resignation was leceived from Mrs. A. Stewart Thompson, and her reason for handing in her resignation was merely given as being on account of our decision to bar the SABC from the Conference. When telephoned she gave a further explanation for her decision and was then asked to attend a Regional Council meeting when she could discuss her objections with the Council.

